

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/761,569	01/16/2001	Roger J. Davis	10363-003004 / UMMC Ref.:	9227	
26161 7:	590 08/27/2003				
FISH & RICHARDSON PC			EXAMINER		
225 FRANKLI BOSTON, MA			GAMBEL, PHILLIP		
			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		
			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summanus	09/761,569	DAVIS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Phillip Gambel	1644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov_r sheet with the correspondence address P_ri_d for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 51X (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. If the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 51X (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. If IN Operation for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire state (MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133), and the provision of							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	fav 2003						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to th	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,32-44 and 50-52 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1.32-44 and 5052 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper Novatent Application (PT					

Serial No. 09/761569 Art Unit 1644

DETAILED ACTION

- The Art Unit location and the examiner of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1644.
- Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claim 65, drawn to a method of treating an MKK-mediated disorder in a patient by administering an antibody in a Paper, filed 5/12/03 is acknowledged.

However, applicant's election, filed 5/12/03, has raised confusion as to what is the elected invention.

Group I of record was limited to claim 1, drawn to a mitogen-activated protein kinase.

Methods of treating an MKK-mediated disorder in a patient by administering an antibody are set forth in Group VI, drawn to claims 51-52, of record.

There is no claim 65 in the instant application.

For examination purposes, the claimed mitogen-activated protein kinase specificity is considered to be the MKK3 (SEQ ID NO: 2).

Accordingly, the claims should be amended to reflect the MKK3 (SEQ ID NO: 2) specificity to advance prosecution.

If the claims are intended to be drawn to mitogen-activated protein kinases (e.g. $MKK4\alpha$, MEK1, etc.) other than MKK3 (SEQ ID NO: 2), then this application will be subject to further restriction.

Applicant should review the current pending claims, given that the instant claims include claims which are dependent on canceled claims.

4. Given the presumption that applicant intends to elect Group VI (claims 51-52) drawn to methods of treating an MKK-mediated disorder in a patient by administering a MKK3-specific antibody, applicant is required to elect a species of an MKK-mediated disorder as set forth herein.

Serial No. 09/761569 Art Unit 1644

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed Group VI: wherein the MKK-mediated disorder (also see page 10, paragraphs 1-3 of the instant specification) is:
 - A) ischemic heart disease.
 - B) kidney failure,
 - C) oxidative liver damage,
 - D) respiratory distress syndrome.
 - E) heat and radiation burns,
 - F) rheumatoid arthritis.
 - G) psoriasis.
 - H) autoimmune disorders,
 - I) inflammatory disorders.
 - J) AIDS or
 - K) malignancy.

These species are distinct because their etiologies and therapeutic endpoints are differ. Therefore, they are separate and patentably distinct species.

If applicant elects autoimmune disorders, inflammatory disorders or malignancy, then applicant is required to elect an ultimate species that reads on the elected disorder.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the metits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 51 is generic.

6. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Serial No. 09/761569 Art Unit 1644

- 7. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phillip Gambel whose telephone number is (703) 308-3997. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center telephone number is (703) 872-9306.

Phillip Gambel, PhD.
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 1600
August 25, 2003